ARTICLE 2

Project Initiation Document Design Scoping Index

General Guidance

- 1. The Design Scoping Index (index) can serve as discussion document to help the design units analyze the highway system and identify geometric design issues that should be addressed during the project initiation phase.
- 2. The index can serve to facilitate discussions with other functional units to identify project issues and stakeholder input needed to properly scope the project.
- 3. The index can serve to facilitate discussions with Headquarter Liaisons to identify potential fatal flaws of non-standard design features.

The Design Scoping Index is used in conjunction with the scoping checklists from other functional units to determine feasibility of the project alternatives. When filling out the index, use some type of notation to indicate if information on the index is based on assumptions. Project information is dynamic and the information in this index should be revised and dated throughout the project initiation process. As the project progresses, information should be verified, updated, and possibly addressed in a risk analysis.

To aid in engineering decision regarding the development of geometric plans, refer to the "*Highway Design Manual*" and DIB 78 Design Checklist.

PROJECT INITIATION DOCUMENT DESIGN SCOPING INDEX

Attach the project location map to index to show the location of all design improvements.

Today's Date:

| Status (Initial, Update): | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------|-----------|------------------|--------|--------------|------------|----------|------------------------------|-------------|---------|
| General Information: | | | | | | | | | | |
| District: | County | y: | Route: | Kilo | meter Post (| Post Mile) | | EA | | |
| | | , | | | · | | | | | |
| | | | | • | | | | • | | <u></u> |
| Project Ma | nager | | | | I | Phone # | | | | |
| Task Mana | | | | | I | Phone # | | | | |
| Project Eng | gineer | | | | I | hone # | | | | |
| Design Fur | nctional 1 | Manager | | | I | hone # | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| General Pr | oject | | | | | | | | | |
| Description | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u></u> |
| Project Ne | ed: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Project Pur | pose: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | T | | | |
| Item | | Consider | ations | | Yes/No/S | Specific | | nents (summ | | |
| | | | | | | | | nation. assur | | |
| | | | | | | | | on of detaile of person w | | |
| | | | | | | | | of person wation). | no win prov | vide |
| 1. Project S | Setting | Rural or | Urban? | | | | 11110111 | 11411011]. | | |
| (refer to Pl | | | Land Uses: | | | | | | | |
| Scoping | C | | ustrial, light | | | | | | | |
| Checklist) | | | commercial, | | | | | | | |
| | | agricultu | ral residential | etc). | | | | | | |
| | | Adjacent | Land Uses: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Landscaping: | | | | 1 | | | |
| | | | ed or eligible s | scenic | | | | | | |
| | | highway | | | | | | | | |

The following pages are to be used for each alternative provided that the scope is significantly different. If a route has been adopted as a freeway, a decision must be made as to whether or not the project will address improvements to the existing traversable highway or move to construction of a freeway facility.

| Item | Considerations | | Yes/No/Specific | Comments (summarize pertinent information, assumptions and reference location of detailed information): |
|-------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Design | 1. | Design Concept? | | |
| Concept and | | Freeway/Expressway/ | | |
| Route | | Conventional Highway | | |
| Matters | | Mixed highway and transit | | |
| | | Mixed highway and rail | | |
| | | Urban | | |
| | | Other | | |
| | 2. | Existing Route Adoption Date | | |
| | 3. | New Route Adoption Proposed? | | |
| | 4. | Existing Freeway Agreement | | |
| | | Date | | |
| | 5 | New Freeway Agreement | | |
| | | Proposed? | | |
| | 6. | Public Road Connection | | |
| | | Proposed? | | |
| Design | 1. | Design speed for highway | | |
| Criteria | | facilities within the project limit | | |
| | | mi/hr? | | |
| | 2. | Design Period: (10 yr/15 yr/20yr) | | |
| | | Construction Year | | |
| | | Design Year | | |
| | 3. | Design Capacity - Level of | | |
| | | Service to be maintained over the | | |
| | | design period: | | |
| | | Mainline | | |
| | | Ramp | | |
| | | Local Street | | |
| | | Weaving Sections | | <u> </u> |
| | 4. | Design Vehicle Selection | | - |
| | | STAA | | |
| | | California | | |
| | | Bus | | |

Proposed Roadbed and Structure Widths

| Forecasted Average Daily | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Traffic volumes | |
| Percent truck volume | % |

| | Roadbed Width | | | Structure Width | | |
|----------------------|---------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|
| State Highway | Existing | Proposed | Standard | Existing | Proposed | Standard |
| Lane widths/# | | | | | | |
| Left Shoulder | | | | | | |
| Right Shoulder | | | | | | |
| Median Width | | | | | | |
| Bicycle lane | | | | | | |
| Sidewalk | | | | | | |
| Planting strip | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Local Streets | | | | | | |
| Lane widths/# | | | | | | |
| Left Shoulder | | | | | | |
| Right Shoulder | | | | | | |
| Median Width | | | | | | |
| Bicycle lane | | | | | | |
| Sidewalk | | | | | | |
| Planting strip | | | | | | |

| Item | Considerations | | Yes/No/Specific | Comments (summarize pertinent information, assumptions and reference location of detailed information): |
|----------------|----------------|--|-----------------|---|
| Roadway | 1. Mainline | Main lane highway | | information). |
| Design Scoping | Operations | widening? | | |
| | | Existing pavement to be rehabilitated with Asphalt Concrete/Rubberized AC/PCC? | | |
| | | Widen existing facility fromlanes tolanes. | | |
| | | Local street structures to | | |
| | | span lanes. | | |
| | | Curb extensions | | |
| | | Shoulder improvements | | |
| | | Bicycle lanes | | |
| | | Pedestrian refuge islands | | |
| | | Sidewalks | | |
| | | Right of Way acquisition | | |
| | | required forlanes. | | |
| | | Identify Potential Relinquishments and vacations. | | |
| | | vacations. | | |

| Item | Considerations | | Yes/No/Specific | Comments (summarize pertinent information, assumptions and reference location of detailed information): |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|---|
| | | Upgrade existing facility to: Expressway/Freeway/ Controlled Access Highway/ Traversable Highway Standards? Improve Vertical Clearance | | |
| | | Adequate Falsework Clearance Traffic calming features | | |
| Roadway Design Scoping | 2. Ramp/Street Intersection Improvements | New Signals? Modify Existing Signals? Right Turn Lanes | | |
| | improvements | Widening for Localized Through lanes? Merging Lanes? | | |
| | | Deceleration/Acceleration lanes? Left Turn Lanes? | | |
| | | >300 VPH Left Turn (Requires Double Left Turn Lane) | | |
| | | Interchange Spacing? Ramps Intersect Local Street < 4% grade? Intersection Spacing? | | |
| | | Exit Ramps >1,500 VPH (Requires two lane exit) Single lane ramps exceeding | | |
| | | 1000' widened to Two lanes Curb Ramps? Pedestrian Facilities? | | |
| Operational Improvements | Truck Climbing Lane | Other? Sustained Grade exceeding 2% and Total Rise Exceeds | | |
| | Auxiliary Lanes | 50'? Other? 2000' between Successive | | |
| | · | On-Ramps? Two lane Exit Ramps have 1300' Auxiliary Lane? | | |
| | | Weaving < 2000' between off-ramp and on-ramp? Other? | | |
| Right of Way Access Control | New construction least 100' (urban | ontrol extends at least 50 ft rb return, radius, or taper? access control extends at areas) or 300' (rural areas) | | |
| | Other? | rb returns, radius, or taper? | | |

| Item | Considerations | Yes/No/Specific | Comments (summarize pertinent information, assumptions and reference location of detailed information): |
|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------|---|
| Highway Planting and Irrigation | Clearing and Grubbing? | | , |
| - | Relocate Existing Irrigation Facilities? | | |
| | Highway Planting and Irrigation (including | | |
| | median and roadside) | | |
| Item | Considerations | Yes/No/Specific | Comments (summarize pertinent information, assumptions and reference location of detailed information): |
| Roadside | Vegetation control treatments (road edge, | | |
| Management | guardrails, signs, drainage facilities, | | |
| | miscellaneous pavement narrow areas, etc.) | | |
| | Modernization and clustering of facilities and hardware (removing and replacing other items), gore area pavement | | |
| | Rehabilitate gore area pavement and pavement | | |
| | beyond gore areas (remove and replace | | |
| | miscellaneous pavement and curbs | | |
| | Landform grading, contour grading, slope rounding, stepped slopes and topsoil reapplication | | |
| | Side slopes/embankment slope | | |
| | Visual Assets | | |
| Worker Safety | Off-Freeway Access (gate, access road, and stairways) | | |
| | Maintenance Vehicle Pull-Out | | |
| | Adequate safety working conditions | | |
| | Relocate roadside facilities/features (cabinets, | | |
| | poles, pull boxes and vaults) away from traffic | | |
| Hydraulics/ | Erosion Control | | |
| Stormwater | | | |
| (Refer to the | Drainage | | |
| Stormwater | Slope Design | | |
| Data Report) | Permanent Stormwater BMPs | | |
| Structures | New Bridge? | | |
| (Refer to | Bridge Rehab? | | |
| Structures | Retaining Wall | | |
| Scoping | Bicycle or Pedestrian | | |
| Checklist or | Other Other | | |
| APS) | On STRAIN list for: | | |
| Other | Class I Bikeway (bicycle path) | | |