

# CALIFORNIA TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION MEETING SUMMARY/ACTIONS TAKEN

**April 3-4, 2002  
Sacramento, California**

**Wednesday, April 3, 2002**

Tab #	Item Description	Information/Action Taken
1	• Roll Call	
2	• Approval of Minutes for February 28, 2002 Meeting	<b>Approved with Corrections Information Only</b>
3	• Commission Reports	
4	• Commissioners' Meetings for Compensation	<b>Approved</b>
5	• Report by Secretary and/or Assistant Secretary	<b>Information Only</b>
6	• <del>Final Report by the Commission on Building for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century: Invest for California – Strategic Planning for California's Future Prosperity and Quality of Life</del>	<b>Postponed – Back in May</b>
7	• Report by Caltrans' Director and/or Deputy Director	<b>Information Only</b>
8	• Caltrans Monthly FY 2001-02 Finance Report (3.1) <i>Revised Book Item – Pink Handout</i>	<b>Information Only</b>
9	• Financial Allocations for 12 Minor Projects totaling \$6,091,200. (2.5a.) Resolution FP-01-58	<b>Projects 1-3, 5-8 and 11, 12 Approved on 4/3/02; Projects 4, 9, 10 Approved on 4/4/02 Information Only</b>
10	• Report by Regional Agencies Moderator	
<b><u>INFORMATION CALENDAR (#11-18)</u></b>		
11 IC	• Informational Report on Allocations Under Delegated Authority (2.5f.) -- Emergency: G-11 Allocations. Six projects totaling \$3,101,548. (2.5f.(1))	<b>Information Only Information Only</b>
12 IC	-- Seismic Retrofit: (Proposition 192, Phase II): G-11 Allocations. One project in Alameda County for \$277,000. (2.5f.(3))	<b>Information Only</b>
13 IC	-- SHOPP Rehabilitation/Safety: G-01-10 Allocations. Two projects totaling \$13,724,000 (2.5f.(4))	<b>Information Only</b>
14 IC	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Local Street and Road Pavement Rehabilitation: G-01-04 Allocations. Four projects totaling \$536,000. (2.5f.(5))	<b>Information Only</b>
15 IC	-- Local Assistance Allocation for Project Development Components of Local Grant Projects: G-01-03 Allocations. Five projects totaling \$336,000. (2.5f.(6))	<b>Information Only</b>
16 IC	-- Local Assistance Allocations for RSTP/CMAQ Match Reserve Projects: G-01-05 Allocations. Seven projects totaling \$854,000. (2.5f.(8))	<b>Information Only</b>
17 IC	• Monthly Report on Status of Projects Previously Voted but not Awarded (3.2)	<b>Information Only</b>
18 IC	• Monthly Report on Projects Amended into the SHOPP by Department Action (3.3)	<b>Information Only</b>

**CONSENT CALENDAR (# 19-42)**

**Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/ Amendments**



- 19 CC** • County of Sutter – Project Approval Amendment to modify the scope of the Sutter Commuter Bikeway project. The County completed a bike path segment and one of two approved bike lane segments, but was unable to complete the second bike lane segment with the Proposition 116 funds programmed for the project. The County is requesting deletion of the unconstructed bike lane segment from the project scope. The Commission has allocated \$1,246,566 for this project. Reimbursements to the County for the project total \$1,207,822. (Concurrent Allocation Amendment under Item 2.6c.(2).) (2.1d.(1))  
Resolution PA-02-~~04~~, Amending Resolution PA-00-05 **Approved**

**Proposition 116 – Rail Project Approvals/Amendments**

- 20 CC** • Project Approval Amendment to the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board for \$853,525 in Proposition 116 Rail Bond funds (PUC Section 99636) for Passenger Railcar Purchase. (Concurrent De-Allocation Amendment under 2.6c.(3).) (2.1e.(1))  
Resolution PA-02-~~06~~, Amending Resolution PA-98-02 **Approved**

- 21 CC** • Project Approval Amendment to the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board for \$853,525 in Proposition 116 Rail Bond funds (PUC Section 99636) for the CalTrain Capital Improvements Project to increase the amount of Proposition 116 fund on the Passenger Railcar Rehabilitation element to allow for rehabilitation of ten additional railcars. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b.(2).) (2.1e.(2))  
Resolution PA-02-~~07~~, Amending Resolution PA-96-32 **Approved**

- 22 CC** • Project Approval Amendment to City of South Lake Tahoe for \$6,650,000 in Proposition 116 Rail Bond funds (PUC Section 99647) for the Park Avenue Intermodal Transit Facility. (Concurrent Allocation under 2.6b.(1).) (2.1e.(3))  
Resolution PA-02-~~08~~, Amending Resolution PA-99-18 **Approved**

**Environmental Matters – Notice of Preparation of an Environmental Impact Report (EIR)**

- 23 CC** • State Route 65/70, Yuba and Sutter Counties, proposed construction of a freeway link to Route 65/70 and Route 99, and a bridge structure over the Feather River. (2.2a.(2)) **Approved**

- 24 CC** • State Route 99, San Joaquin County, proposed widening of the highway from four to six lanes, and to replace all existing bridges within the project limits. (2.2a.(1)) **Approved**

**Relinquishment Resolutions (2.3c.)/Resolutions of Necessity (2.4b.)/Director's Deeds (2.4d.)**

- 25 CC** • Two Relinquishment Resolutions (2.3c.)  
-- 06-Fre-168-KP R14.8/R19.6, Route 168 in the County of Fresno.  
Resolution R-3512 **Approved**  
  
-- 07-Ven-126-PM 13.5, Route 126 in the County of Ventura  
Resolution R-3513

- |       |   |                                  |
|-------|---|----------------------------------|
| 26 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>29</del> 27 Resolutions of Necessity (2.4b.)<br/>Resolutions C-18468 <del>and Through C-18470</del>, C-18472 Through C-18487,<br/>and C-18489 Through C-18498</li> <li>▪ <b>Resolutions C-18469 and C-18470 withdrawn by the Department prior to the CTC meeting.</b></li> </ul>  | <b>Approved<br/>(as Revised)</b> |
| 27 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Director's Deeds (2.4d.)<br/>Items #1 Through #3<br/>Excess Lands - Return to State: \$747,400.00<br/>Return to Others: \$0</li> </ul>   | <b>Approved</b>                  |
| 28 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- <del>Eight</del> <b>Seven</b> STIP Projects On the State Highway System totaling<br/><del>\$137,605,000</del> <b>\$89,042,000</b> plus <del>\$15,422,000</del> <b>\$367,000</b> contributions from<br/>other sources. (2.5b.(1))<br/>Resolution FP-01-59<br/><b>Project #8 withdrawn by Department prior to meeting.</b></li> </ul> | <b>Approved<br/>(as Revised)</b> |
| 29 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- <del>Eight</del> <b>Seven</b> SHOPP Projects totaling <del>\$13,215,000.</del> <b>\$12,189,00.</b><br/>(2.5b.(2))<br/>Resolution FP-01-60<br/><b>Project #3 withdrawn by Department prior to meeting.</b></li> </ul>  | <b>Approved<br/>(as Revised)</b> |
| 30 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Two SHOPP Projects added to the SHOPP by Departmental action<br/>totaling \$2,866,000. (2.5b.(3))<br/>Resolution FP-01-61</li> </ul>  | <b>Approved</b>                  |
| 31 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Six Local Assistance STIP Projects Off the State Highway System<br/>totaling \$5,212,000 plus \$776,000 from other sources. (2.5c.(1))<br/>Resolution FP-01-65</li> </ul>   | <b>Approved</b>                  |
| 32 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Two Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation<br/>(EEM) projects totaling \$480,720,000 plus \$405,500 from other sources.<br/>(2.5c.(3))<br/>Resolution FP-01-67</li> </ul>  | <b>Approved</b>                  |
| 33 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Financial Allocation for Special Federal Funds on State Highway System<br/>for \$4,800,000. (2.5g.)<br/>Resolution FP-01-72</li> </ul>   | <b>Approved</b>                  |
| 34 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for Nine Local STIP Rail/Transit Projects for<br/>\$7,520,000. (2.6a.(1))<br/>Resolution MFP-01-14<br/><b>Change to Project #9 Vote Box to show 100% Federal Funds</b></li> </ul>  | <b>Approved<br/>(as Revised)</b> |
| 35 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for one Local Proposition 116 Program Project in the<br/>City of South Lake Tahoe for \$3,736,000. (Concurrent Amendment under<br/>2.1e.(3).) (2.6b.(1))<br/>Resolution BFP-01-04</li> </ul>   | <b>Approved</b>                  |
| 36 CC | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Financial Allocation for one Local Rail Proposition 116 Program Project<br/>in the Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board for \$853,525. (Concurrent<br/>Amendment under 2.1e.(2).) (2.6b.(2))<br/>Resolution BFP-01-05</li> </ul>   | <b>Approved</b>                  |

**37 CC** -- Proposition 116 Allocation Amendment for County of Nevada – Deallocate \$4,922 from Transit Vehicle Turn Project, PPNO 03-0854A. Original allocation for \$34,500; project completed at a cost of \$29,578, a savings of \$4,922. (Concurrent Amendment under 2.1d.(2) and Allocation under 2.6b.(3).) (2.6c.(1))  
Resolution BFA-01-07, Amending Resolution BFP-99-16 **Approved**

**38 CC** -- Proposition 116 Allocation Amendment for County of Sutter – Change in scope for Sutter Commuter Bikeway Project, PPNO-03-0824. Delete Class II Bikeway, due to increased costs. No change in dollar amount. (Concurrent Amendment under 2.1d.(1).) (2.6c.(2))  
Resolution BFA-01-08, Amending Resolution BFA-99-06 **Approved**

**39 CC** -- Proposition 116 Allocation Amendment for Peninsula Corridor Joint Powers Board – De-allocate \$853,525 from Passenger Railcar Purchase Project. Original allocation for \$2,500,000; project completed at a cost of \$1,646,475, a savings of \$853,525. (Concurrent Amendment under 2.1e.(1) and Allocation under 2.6b.(2).) (2.6c(3))  
Resolution BFA-01-09, Amending Resolution BFP-97-19 **Approved**

**Technical Changes to Previously Approved Resolutions/Actions (2.9)**

**40 CC** -- Technical Change to Resolution FP-99-70, originally approved on March 30, 2000, for \$750,000 in Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) program funds for two (2) projects, and later amended with Resolution G-01-24, on August 23, 2001 with a reduction in scope and funding. Revise applicant status for Resolution FP-99-70; project #2, Los Osos Coastal Dunes Acquisition, from State Coastal Conservancy to The Bay Foundation. (2.9a.) **Approved**

**41 CC** -- Technical Change to Resolution FP-01-14, originally approved on August 22, 2001, for \$3,604,000 in Local Assistance Environmental Enhancement and Mitigation (EEM) program funds for eight (8) projects. Revise applicant status for Resolution FP-01-14, project #4, Morro Bay Dunes: Powell II project, from the Trust for Public Land to the Department of Parks and Recreation. (2.9b.) **Approved**

**42 CC** • Adoption of Annual Rate for Local Government Matching of California Aid to Airports Program (CAAP) Grants. (4.3)  
Resolution G-02-**02** **Approved**

**2:00pm** **TIMED ITEM (#43)**

**43** • Presentation of the 2002 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) Staff Recommendations (4.5) **Information Public Hearing**

**PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION MATTERS (#44)**

**44** • Public Hearing and Adoption of FY 2001-02 Rural Transit System Grant Program. (4.2)  
Resolution G-02-**01** **Approved**

**ACTION ITEMS (#45-52, 55-68)**

**Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Application Approvals/Amendments**

- 45 • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. **Approved  
(as Revised)**  
~~Seven~~ **Six** applications totaling \$823,070,000. **\$979,067,000.** (2.1c.(1))  
 Resolution TA-02-04
- Project #1.2 – ~~\$605,570,000~~ **\$605,567,000** for Phases 1, 2 and 3, for BART to San Jose; extend BART from Fremont to Downtown San Jose in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties – Warm Springs to Downtown San Jose Extension.  
 Applicant Agency: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)  
 Implementing Agency: VTA  
**Book Item, Fact Sheet and Resolution are correct.**
- ~~-- Project #2 – \$35,000,000 for Phase 3, for Fremont-South Bay Commuter Rail; acquire rail line and start commuter rail service between Fremont and San Jose in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties.  
 Applicant Agency: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)  
 Implementing Agency: VTA  
**Withdrawn by Agency prior to meeting.**~~
- Project #9.4 – \$19,500,000 for Phase 4, for Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties – Oakland to San Jose Intercity Rail Track Improvements.  
 Applicant Agency: Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority (CCJPA)  
 Implementing Agency: CCJPA
- Project #36 – ~~\$25,500,000~~ **\$216,500,000** for Phases 2, and 3, **and Phase 4** for Los Angeles Eastside Transit Extension; build new light rail line in East Los Angeles, from Union Station to Atlantic via 1st Street to Lorena in Los Angeles County.  
 Applicant Agency: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA)  
 Implementing Agency: LACMTA
- Project #38.1 – \$132,700,000 for Phases 2, 3, and 4, for Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension; build an East-West Bus Rapid Transit system in the Burbank-Chandler corridor, from North Hollywood to Warner Center.  
 Applicant Agency: Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (LACMTA)  
 Implementing Agency: LACMTA
- Project #51 – \$4,200,000 for Phase 3, for Route 101/405; add auxiliary lane and widen ramp through freeway interchange in Sherman Oaks in Los Angeles County.  
 Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)  
 Implementing Agency: Caltrans
- Project #88 – \$600,000 for Phase 1, for Route 5; realign freeway at Virginia Avenue, approaching San Ysidro Port of Entry to Mexico in San Diego County.  
 Applicant Agency: California Department of Transportation (Caltrans)  
 Implementing Agency: Caltrans

**The Items 46 through 56 were heard and approved on Thursday, April 4, 2002**

- 46 • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Amendment **Approved on April 4  
4/4/02 (as Revised)**  
 Approval. ~~Four~~ **Three** application amendments; **no new dollars.**  
 totaling ~~\$200,000 in new programming.~~ (2.1c.(2))  
 Resolution TAA-02-04, Amending Resolutions TA-00-01,  
 TA-01-09, and TA-01-11

~~-- Project #14 – CalTrain; extension to Salinas in Monterey County. Amend application to program additional TCRP funding of \$200,000 to Phase 1 (Environmental). This increases the original amount approved under Resolution TA-01-11 from \$365,000 to \$565,000. The Transportation Agency for Monterey County (TAMC) is requesting this amendment along with concurrent allocation under 2.6e.~~

~~**Withdrawn by Agency prior to meeting.**~~

-- Project #34 – Blue Line to Los Angeles; new rail line Pasadena to Los Angeles in Los Angeles County. Amend application to update project schedule and to redistribute funds per design-build contract. Original TCR application approval under Resolution TA-00-01. The Pasadena Metropolitan Blue Line Construction Authority is requesting this amendment.

**Revised Fact Sheet - Pink**

-- Project #70.2 – Route 22; add HOV lanes on Garden Grove Freeway, Route I-405 to Route 55 in Orange County. Amend application designating this subproject as Construction of the HOV widening and auxiliary lanes. Amend application designating Orange County Transportation Authority (OCTA) as Implementing Agency for a Design-Build approach for HOV lanes. As a result, TCR funds are to be redistributed between the Department of Transportation (Caltrans) and OCTA in accordance to revised schedule and funding plan. Original TCR application approval under Resolution TA-01-09. Caltrans and OCTA are requesting this amendment with concurrent allocation under 2.6e.)

**Correct Fact Sheet to read: Phase 3, Start Date ~~3/1/01~~ 6/1/01.**

-- Project #70.3 – Route 22; add HOV lanes on Garden Grove Freeway, Route I-405 to Route 55 in Orange County. Amend application designating this subproject as Replacement Planting Project for \$10,800,000. Original TCR application approval under Resolution TA-01-09. Caltrans and the Orange County Transportation Authority are requesting this amendment.

**Correct Fact Sheet to read: Phase 2, Start Date ~~7/1/05~~ 10/1/03, and Phase 4 End Date ~~12/1/0-9~~ 10/1/10.**

47  
4/4/02

- Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Application Approvals. One application for ~~\$111,430,000.~~ **\$111,433,000** (2.1c.(4)) Resolution TA-02-05

**Approved  
(as Revised)**

-- Project #1.1 -- ~~\$111,430,000~~ **\$111,433,000** for Phases 1, 2 and 3, for BART to San Jose; extend BART from Fremont to Downtown San Jose in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties – Fremont to Warm Springs Extension.

Applicant Agency: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority (VTA)  
Implementing Agency: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART)

**Book Item, Fact Sheet and Resolution are correct.**

**Environmental Matters – Approval of TCR Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)**

48  
4/4/02

- Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Los Angeles Eastside Transit Extension Project (Los Angeles County) to build new light rail line in East Los Angeles from Union Station to Atlantic via 1<sup>st</sup> Street to Lorena (TCRP #36). (Related item 2.1c.(1)) (2.2c.(1)) Resolution E-02-26

**Approved**

49  
4/4/02 • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Los Angeles-San Fernando Valley Transit Extension Project (Los Angeles County) to build an East-West bus rapid transit system from North Hollywood to Warner Center (TCRP #38.1). (Related item 2.1c.(1).) (2.2c.(2)) Resolution E-02-27 **Approved**

50  
4/4/02 • Approval of Project for Future Consideration of Funding – Route 10; Live Oak Canyon Interchange Project (San Bernardino County) in the City of Yucaipa (TCRP #59). (2.2c.(3)) Resolution E-02-28 **Approved**

#### **Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Allocations**

51  
4/4/02 • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations. ~~Seven~~ **Six** TCR project allocations totaling ~~\$141,383,000~~ **\$141,183,000**. (2.6e.(1)) Resolution TFP-02-03 **Approved (as Revised)**

-- \$54,115,000 – Project #1.1, BART to San Jose; extend BART from Fremont to Downtown San Jose in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties – Fremont to Warm Springs Extension. Implementing Agency: San Francisco Bay Area Rapid Transit District. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(4).)

-- \$37,000,000 – Project #1.2, BART to San Jose; extend BART from Fremont to Downtown San Jose in Santa Clara and Alameda Counties – Warm Springs to Downtown San Jose Extension. Implementing Agency: Santa Clara Valley Transportation Authority. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(1).)

-- \$19,500,000 – Project #9.4, Capitol Corridor; improve intercity rail line between Oakland and San Jose, and at Jack London Square and Emeryville stations in Alameda and Santa Clara Counties – Oakland to San Jose Intercity Rail Track Improvements. Implementing Agency: Capitol Corridor Joint Powers Authority. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(1).)

~~-- \$200,000 – Project # 14, CalTrain; extension to Salinas in Monterey County. Implementing Agency: Transportation Agency for Monterey County. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(2).)~~

***Withdrawn by Department prior to meeting.***

-- \$29,400,000 – Project #70.2, Route 22; add HOV lanes on Garden Grove Freeway, Route I-405 to Route 55 in Orange County. Implementing Agency: Orange County Transportation Authority. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(2).)

-- \$600,000 – Project #88, Route 5; realign freeway at Virginia Avenue, approaching San Ysidro Port of Entry to Mexico in San Diego County. Implementing Agency: California Department of Transportation. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(1).)

-- \$568,000 – Project #95, Route 41; add auxiliary lane/operational improvements and improve ramps at Friant Road Interchange in Fresno in Fresno County. Implementing Agency: California Department of Transportation. (Minor Amendment reported under 2.1c.(3).)

52 • Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Project Allocations. One TCR project, no net change in funding. (2.6e.(2)) Resolution TFP-02-04, Amending Resolution TFP-00-02  
 4/4/02 **Revised Book Item – Pink Handout** **Approved (as Revised)**

-- Project #34 – Blue Line to Los Angeles; new rail line Pasadena to Los Angeles in Los Angeles County. Allocation amendment to redistribute funds between phases. Implementing Agency: Los Angeles to Pasadena Metropolitan Blue Line Construction Authority. (Concurrent programming action under 2.1c.(2).)

**Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Informational Reports**

53 • Informational Report on Delegated Minor Amendments for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Projects per G-01-23. One minor project amendment, no additional funding. (2.1c.(3))  
 4/4/02 **Information Only**

54 • Informational Report on Delegated Allocations for Traffic Congestion Relief (TCR) Program Project, per Resolution G-01-27. Three project allocations totaling \$5,784,000. (2.6f.)  
 4/4/02 **Proposition 116 – Non-Urban County Project Approvals/ Amendments** **Information Only**

55 • Nevada County – Project Approval Amendment to PA-00-15 to amend two projects as follows:  
 4/4/02 -- Transit Vehicle Turn Pocket project – reduce the amount programmed from \$34,500 to \$29,578. This project was completed with cost savings of \$4,922.  
 -- Bus Shelters – increase the amount of Proposition 116 funds on this project from \$31,500 to \$36,422 and include \$1,492 in local funds. This amendment will also reduce the number of bus shelters to be constructed from 14 to 8. The increased cost and reduced number of shelters is due to the County’s selection of a shelter type that is larger and better suited to the changing environment in Nevada County. (Concurrent De-Allocation Amendment under 2.6c.(1)) and Allocation under 2.6b.(3).) (2.1d.(2)) Resolution PA-02-~~05~~, Amending Resolution PA-00-15  
 • Financial Allocation for Proposition 116 Program Projects (2.6b.) **Approved**

56 -- Financial Allocation for one Local Proposition 116 Non Urban Program Project for \$4,922 for construction of a Nevada County Bus Shelter. (Concurrent Amendment under 2.1d.(2) and De-Allocation Amendment under 2.6c.(1).) (2.6b.(3)) Resolution BFP-01-06 **Approved**  
 4/4/02

**Environmental Matters – Approval of Projects for Future Consideration of Funding, Route Adoption or New Public Road Connection (Final Negative Declaration or EIR)**

57 • Approval of Project for Consideration of Funding – State Route 70, Sutter/Yuba County Counties proposed upgrade of segment of State Route 70 to a four-lane expressway (Final EIR). (Concurrent items 2.4a, 2.5b.(5).) (2.2c.(4)). Resolution E-02-29  
 4/3/02 **Approved**



**Highway Right of Way Matters**

- Three Resolutions of Necessity – Appearances (2.4a.)

**58** -- Christopher R. Steele, et al (2.4a.(1)) **Approved as Uncontested**  
**4/3/02** 03-Yub-70-PM 0.0/R11.3  
 Resolution C-18465  
**Owner Withdrew Request to Appear Prior to Meeting**

**59** -- Christopher R. Steele, et al (2.4a.(2)) **Approved as Uncontested**  
**4/3/02** 03-Yub-70-PM0.5/R7.4  
 Resolution C-1846  
**Owner Withdrew Request to Appear Prior to Meeting**

**60** -- Michael Rue (2.4a.(3)) **Approved as Uncontested**  
**4/3/02** 03-Yub-70-PM R0.5/R7.4  
 Resolution C-18467  
**Owner Withdrew Request to Appear Prior to Meeting**

**4:20pm Highway Financial Matters**

**61** -- One STIP Project On the State Highway System in Yuba County **Approved**  
**4/3/02** for \$22,730,000. (2.5b.(5))  
 Resolution FP-01-62

**62** • Financial Allocation for Projects with Cost Increases Greater than **Approved**  
**4/3/02** 20 Percent Over Programmed Amount. One project in Orange  
 County for \$418,000. (2.5d.)  
 Resolution FP-01-71

-- 12-Ora-73 – SHOPP Project in Orange County, near Newport  
 Beach, on State Route 799. Current programmed value of \$300,000,  
 and current estimate of \$418,000, for a 39 percent increase over  
 programmed amount.

**63** • Financial Allocation for Supplemental Funds for Previously Voted **Approved**  
**4/3/02** Projects. Four project totaling \$31,658,000. (2.5e.)  
 Resolution FA-01-08

- 63**  
**4/3/02** -- 03-Nev-267 – SHOPP Project in Nevada County on State Route 267, in the City of Truckee, at the Union Pacific Railroad grade crossing. Original vote \$300,000. Current allocation \$402,632. This request of \$155,000 is for an ongoing project, for an increase of 86% over the original vote amount. **Approved**
- 04-Ala-80 – STIP Project in Alameda County along Interstate 80. Original vote and current allocation \$363,000. This request of \$150,000 to advertise project, for an increase of 41% over the original vote amount.
- 04-Ala-260 – SHOPP Project in Alameda County on State Route 260, in the Cities of Alameda and Oakland, at Posey and Webster Street Tubes (Stage I). Original vote \$20,800,000. Current allocation \$23,634,500. This request of \$22,000,000 for an ongoing project, for an increase of 120% over the original vote amount.
- 04-Ala-260 – SHOPP Project in Alameda County on State Route 260, in the Cities of Alameda and Oakland, at Posey and Webster Street Tubes (Stage II). Original vote and current allocation of \$27,137,000. This request of \$6,253,000 to award project, for an increase of 23% over the original vote amount.

**Items 64 through 68 heard and approved on April 4, 2002**  
**Time Extension Requests per CTC Resolution G-01-21, STIP Guidelines, Section 65 – Timely Use of Funds**

- 64**  
**4/4/02** -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for two local STIP projects for the City of Pasadena and Ventura County for \$1,864,000. (2.8a.(1))  
Waiver-02-08 **Approved**
- 65**  
**4/4/02** -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Allocation for one local STIP project on the State Highway System in Madera County for \$3,400,000. (2.8a.(2))  
Waiver 02-09 **Approved**
- 66**  
**4/4/02** -- Request to Extend the Period of Project Reimbursement for four local STIP projects for the cities of Fremont and Shafter, and Santa Barbara County for \$5,015,000. (2.8d.)  
Waiver-02-10 **Approved**

**Adoption of Programs and Allocations**

- 67**  
**4/4/02** • Adoption of 2002 Aeronautics Program (4.9)  
Resolution G-02-~~06~~ **Approved**
- 68**  
**4/4/02** • City of Madera – Madera Municipal Airport in Madera County \$400,000 – Remove hazard for runway 30 – open canal. (2.7)  
Resolution FDOA-2002-3 **Approved**

**Thursday, April 4, 2002 – All Remaining Items 69 through 84**

Tab #	Item Description	Information/Action Taken
8:30am	<b><u>CLOSED SESSION REGARDING LITIGATION, GOV. CODE SEC. 11126(e)(1) – No. BC 255630</u></b>	Removed from Calendar
	<b><u>ACTION ITEMS (#69-71, 74-84)</u></b>	
	<b><u>Adoption of Programs and Allocations (Continued from 4/3) (#69-70)</u></b>	
69	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adoption of Programming Second Round of Statewide Transportation Enhancement (STE) Share of Transportation Enhancement Activities (TEA) Program (4.4) Resolution G-02-<b>03</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>Southern List Approved; Northern List approval continued to May Meeting (<i>Revision per CTC Direction: Move Project “Restoration and Rehab of Red Oak Victory Ship” from North-Priority B list to North-A Priority List with review of any required program adjustments in May.</i>)</b>  <b>Approved</b></p>
70	<p>-- Two Local Assistance Statewide Transportation Enhancements (STE) Projects totaling \$1,352,000 plus \$9,000,000 from other sources. (2.5c.(2)) Resolution FP 01-66</p>	
	<b><u>POLICY MATTERS (#71)</u></b>	
71	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• State Legislative Matters *                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-- Status of Bills (4.1)</li> <li>-- SB 1262 (Torlakson) – Infill Incentive Program-STIP Funds</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<p><b>Information Only (No action taken)</b></p>
	<b><u>MODAL ISSUES (#72)</u></b>	
72	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Highways – Update on Environmental Status of Tri-County Projects (5.2)</li> </ul>	<p><b>Information Only</b></p>
9:40am	<b><u>PRESENTATIONS (#73)</u></b>	
73	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Presentation on Comparative Costs of Highways Versus Transit by Elizabeth Deakin of UC Berkeley Institute of Transportation Studies</li> </ul>	<p><b>Information Only</b></p>
10:00am	<b><u>TIMED ITEM (#74)</u></b>	
74	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adoption of the 2002 STIP (4.6) Resolution G-02-<b>04</b></li> </ul>	<p><b>Approved (as Revised)</b></p>
	<b><u>STIP/SHOPP Advance Project Allocations (#75-82)</u></b>	
75	<p>-- Two <u>2000 STIP Advancement Projects</u> On the State Highway System totaling \$1,262,000. (2.5b.(6)) Resolution FP-01-63</p>	<p><b>Approved (as Revised – Item #2 Only – Item #1 to return in May)</b></p>
76	<p>-- One <u>2000 SHOPP Advancement Project</u> in Humboldt County for \$5,300,000. (2.5b.(7)) Resolution FP-01-64</p>	<p><b>Approved</b></p>

**Thursday, April 4, 2002 – All Remaining Items 69 through 84**

Tab #	Item Description	Information/Action Taken
77	<p>-- <del>Two</del> <b>One</b> Local Assistance <u>2002 STIP Advancement Projects</u> Off the State Highway System totaling <del>\$1,246,000</del> <b>\$600,000</b> plus \$800,000 from other sources. (2.5c.(5)) Resolution FP-01-68 <b>Project #2 withdrawn by Agency prior to meeting.</b></p>	<b>Approved (as Revised)</b>
78	<p>-- One Local Assistance <u>2002 STIP Advancement Project</u> Off the State Highway System in Ventura County for \$435,000 plus \$220,000 from other sources. (2.5c.(6)) Resolution FP-01-69</p>	<b>Approved</b>
79	<p>-- One Local Assistance <u>2002 STIP Advancement Project</u> Off the State Highway System in Sacramento County for \$600,000. (2.5c.(7)) Resolution FP-01-70</p>	<b>Approved</b>
80	<p>-- Financial Allocation for one <u>2002 STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$1,830,000 for the purchase of five, 40-foot buses for Sacramento Bus Rapid Transit (BRT). (2.6a.(3)) Resolution MFP-01-15</p>	<b>Approved</b>
81	<p>-- Financial Allocation for one <u>2002 STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$15,000,000 for the Universal Fare Collection System (UFS) in Los Angeles County. (2.6a.(4)) Resolution MFP-01-16</p>	<b>Approved</b>
82	<p>-- Financial Allocation for one <u>2002 STIP Advancement</u> Rail/Transit Project for \$1,400,000 for Track and Signal Improvements to the Montalvo Metrolink Station in Ventura County. (2.6a.(5)) Resolution MFP-01-17</p>	<b>Approved</b>
<b><u>2002 SHOPP (#83-84)</u></b>		
83	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Approval of the 2002 SHOPP (4.7) Resolution G-02-<del>05</del></li> </ul>	<b>Approved</b>
84	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Review and Comments on Caltrans 2002 Ten-Year State Highway Operations and Protection Program (SHOPP) <u>Plan</u> (4.8)</li> </ul>	<b>Information (On May Agenda for Action)</b>
<b><u>OTHER MATTERS</u></b>		
<b><u>Dr. Nancy Cross – Presentation on Mass Transportation</u></b>		<b>Rescheduled</b>
<b><u>ADJOURN</u></b>		